# NOTES ON SOME QUEENSLAND AND PAPUAN REPTILES. 

By Heber A. Longman, F.L.S., Director.<br>(Plates XI to XV.)

## LACERTILIA.

 NEPHRURUS ASPER, Günther.(Plate II.)
A Lave specimen of this grotesque little geeko was reeently sent to Brisbane from North Queensland, being smbsequently domated to the Museum. Its appearance in life is shown in Plate XI., but a short cinematograph film wonk be needed to demonstrate the range of its curions movements. When disturbed, it has the habit of raising itself to the full extent of the long thin legs and then lowering its body nearly to the ground; this being done repeatedly. Oceasionally, when teased, it gives a short side-spring and emits a congh-like bark, which has gained for it the name of "Barking Lizard." It bites so determinedly that when gripping a finger it may be lifted in that way from the ground. The jaws are too weak, however, for it to intlict much damage. Broom notes a specimen of a more docile temperament. ${ }^{1}$ Our lizard lived in eaptivity for a few weeks, being fed on grasshoppers. The white transverse bands were very noticeable in this speemen; but this feature is somewhat variable. The appearance of the skin brings to mind the test of a sea-urchin. In addition to specimens from several localities in North and Western Queensland, we have an example from Pine Creek, Northern Territory (received through Mr. G. F'. Hill). The Horm Expedition seenred this lizard at Alice Springs, and Lonnberg and Andersson have recorded it from Kimberley, N. W. Australia.

## LIALIS BURTONII, Gray.

(Plate XII.)
The two specimens here illustrated had been in captivity for several months. They represent varieties A and C as noted by Boulenger in the British Musemm Catalogue. Both these snake-like lizards were eaptured by the writer in Brishane.

EGERNIA BUNGANA, De Vis.
(Plate NIII.)
In 1887 De Vis deseribed this speeies, ${ }^{2}$ but the type has unfortunately disappeared. The Queensland Museum now contains two specimens, one of which was reeently seeured alive by the writer at Tambourine Mountain. This lizatrl

[^0]is the giant of Australian skinks and grows to over 2 feet in length. It is quite common at Tambourine, and may be frequently seen in or near other mountainous rain-forests in South-Eastern Queensland. As it seldom ventures far from its haunts in the hollows of large logs on the gromd, specimens are not easy to obtain. It is structurally allied to Egomia major, as pointed out by De Vis, and in the number, proportions, and dispositions of the lead shields and body seales it is diffient to find distinetions. An azygons muchal shield, in contact with the interparietal, is present in both our specimens of $E$. bungana and is absent in our series of $E$. major, hut shields in this region are often variable. E. bungana, howerer, is strikingly distinct beanse of its colouration, its habitat, and the larger size of adults. The entire dorsal and dorsal-lateral surface is a uniform shining blaek; near to the ventral region this merges to a brownish tint, and the ventral surface is yellow, with the exception of the throat which is salmon colour. The cyelids are rellowish white, and the tongue is bluish. Several young specimens seen were also of a shining black colour above. Because of its large black seales this lizard has received at Tambourne the eurious name of "Land Mullet." lt has the habit of lying out on logs in the sunlight, especially after wet weather. A specimen, 22 inches in length, was chopped out of a hollow log hy the writer. In captivity it thrives well on raw meat. When handled or approached it gives out rigorous hlasts of breath, the bellow-like movement of the body being somewhat remarkable. The specifie term is derived from its aboriginal name.

## LYGOSOMA (LIOLEPISMA) SPECTABILE (De Vis). ${ }^{3}$

Six specimens which agree well with this species were eolleeted hy the Writer at 'Tambourine Momtain in Apri] 1917. In regartl to the proportions of the tail the original deseription needs amending, as it is more than half as long again as the head and body, both in the type and in one later specimens. L. spectabile may be distinguished from $L$. muslelinum, apart from certain differenees in colouration, by the greater mmber of lamelle under the fourth toe.

## LYGOSOMA (HINULIA) TRYONI, sp. nov:

LIabit lacertiform: limbs pentadactyle: the distance between the end of the snont and the fore limb is contaned one and one-half times in the distane butwen axilla and groin. Shout short, obtuse; lower eyelid sealy; nostril in a single nasal, followed ly a series of loreals, which are not superposed; no supranasal ; frontonasal bronder than long, forming a wide suture with the rostral and a narmower one with the frontal ; latter a little shorter than the frontoparietal and interparietal together, in contare with the first iwo supraopulars; four supraoculars, second largest, amb in ablition there is a small sheld not serially alignel with either the sufmocnlars or the supraciliaries; eight supraciliaries; liontoparietals and interparietals distinct; the parietals form a suture behind; two pairs of emlarged muchals; parietal bordered laterally by a large shich;
From life.
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two anterior temporals: six upper labials, the fourth being below the centre of the eye; ear-opening subcircular, a little smaller than the eye-opening; no lobules. Forty smooth seales around middle of body, laterals smallest; preanal seales enlarged. 'The toes of the adpressed limbs overlap; digits laterally compressed; 16-19 smooth, undivided lamella mader the fourth toe. Tail longer than head and body.

In colouration this skink somewhat resembles $L$. tenue, being brownish above, mottled with black; along the sides the dark markings are almost continnons, but do not form at regular band; the sides of both head and body are market with light spots; throat marbled with blaek, otherwise the ventral surface is whitish.

Total length 223 millin.; tail 122 ; fore limb 25 ; hind limb 35 ; head 22 , width of head 14 .

Described from two specimens eollected hy Mr. Henry Tryon in the Macpherson Ranges, $3,000 \mathrm{ft}$., South Queensland. Reg. Nos. J. $17 / 3023$ (type), 3024 (co-type).

Lygosoma tryoni resembles $L$. quoyi in the number of its boty seales, but is readily distinguished ly its more obtuse snont, shorter tail, lesser number of lamelle under the fourtlo toe (which are undivided), the alsence of a prefrontal suture, and the disposition of the upper labials in relation to the eye.

## OPHIDIA.

## DIPSADOMORPHUS IRREGULARIS (Merr.).

Through the courtesy of Mr. D. Le Souef, Director of the Zoological Gardens, Melbourne, we recently received a snake captured at Dunk Island. which he noticed was quite distinct from the common Brown Tree Snake. This specimen is olive brown above. being darker in the rertehral region and lighter on the sides. There are no transverse markings. The ventrals are yellowish and more or less clonded with darker markings which are still more pronounced on the subcaudals. The anterior palatine and mandibular teeth are enlarged. Scales in 21 rows, 15 near the anns; ventrals 261 ; anal entire; subcaudals 87 (incomplete). This is the first record of the widely spread and variable D. irrofularis so far south, though it has been noted from Torres Strait Islands. Possibly Maeleay's D. boydii, from Ingham, North Queensland, should be more correctly phaced in its synomyy than with I). fuscus. Mr. E. J. Banfield, Dunk lsland, has since forwarded a second specimen. He records "Wat-tam" as the aboripina! name, and gives an interesting aceoment of its habits in "Tropie Days" (Fisher Unwin, 1918), p. 240. Like its congener, D. fuscus, it feeds on birds, and Mr. Banfield states that the hlacks regard its bite as fatal. Although its fangs are situated at the back of the mouth, there may be some basis for this riew. The Bomslangs of South Afriea were onee regarded as harmless, but F. IV. Fitzsimons has shown that the bite is occasionally attended with fatal results to man. It is obvious that certain species of Opisthoglypha need to be handled with caution.

TOXICOCALAMUS LONGISSIMUS, Bunlenger.
In 1905 De Vis deseribed a smake from Vamapa Yalley, Papua, as Vanapina lincata. ${ }^{*}$ both genus and species being reeorded as new. His deseription concludes with the query-"Is this Apistocalamus loriur, Blgr.?" Unfortunately the type has disappeared, but there is no doubt that the gems ${ }^{\circ}$ anapina should be includerl in Bonlenger's Toricocalamms. ${ }^{5}$ which De Tis had owerlooked. It seems probable that the actual species is also idenfical with $T$. longissimus. The difterences to be noted from the desoriptions are rery slight, hat as Boulenger's specimens vame from Woodlark lsland it may be that the mainland form is separable as a variety. Apisloralamus lorin is, of comse, fuite distinct. The characteristics of the six Papuan snakes in this group have been tabulated by Bonlenger. ${ }^{6}$ who states that the later genera If istoculamus and Pscurlapistocalamus are doubtlully distinet from Toricocalamus.

## PSEUDELAPS HARRIETTE (Kıfit).

(Plate NIV.)
On 3rd Angust, 19It, the writer secured from under a heap of rubbish in a brishane garden five young specimens of the White-crowned SnakeI'surthlafs harriftle (Kreftt). These were each abont 160 mm . in length and hat evidently just rmerged from the eggs, as seven empty egg-eases were found dose by. These little snakes were lead-colomed above; the white circet on the head was very sharply deffed and enclused a shining black patch on the frontal and pariotal regions. The light longitudinal lines on the dorsal and lateral seales of the body wore prominent, and anteriorly these were entinued on the lateral seales until they terminated in the white markings of the lower labials. In life the pupil is almost circular. In three sperimens the nasal shield was not in contact witl the preocular. Tn all fixe the seales were in 15 rows, and the inal was divided. The sentrals varied from 172 to 180 and the paired subcaudals from 28 to 38 in addition to single terminal seale.

Psendelaps harridler olten shows great agility when distmbed, and throws its body from side to side with quick ronvolsive movements. Adult specimens have the power of Hattening themselves to a surprising extent. This snake wi!l sometimes raise the anterior lourth of the body almost vertieally, whilst the head is sharply bent at a right angle, the attituld loringing to mind some of the illustrations of the Indian colna.

In life the genmal colmar ol adults is a dark slate, the light longitudinal lines being barely motioceble. The white markings on the hearl encirele a pateh of shining hack. On the ventral swrere the prevailing colow is a lighto slate than that of the dorsum. I'scudrlaps harriflla is quite a common smake in the lirishame district.

[^1]
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## LATICAUDA COLUBRINUS (schmeid.).

In his diagnosis of four species of Platurus in the British Museum Catalogme of snakes, Bonlenger sectioned them according to the presence or absence of a keel on the posterior ventrals. This characteristic does not appear to be of rpuite mexceptional value, and the matter has a direct relation to the status of Platurus frontalis, De Vis. ${ }^{7}$ Captain F . Wall states ${ }^{8}$ that he has fomm a median rentral keel "in at least three speeimens of what I consider undonbted $P$. leticandatus." and he thinks that mucllori shonld be included with this species. Stejncger" somewhat ambignously refers to $L$. mulleri as "a L. laticaudatus with a rentral leel." Ont of fourteen specimens of colubrimes in the Queensland Musemm, one has a thistinct keel and mother has a trace. The type of "Platurus frontalis " ayrees in detail with $I$. colubrimus, lout a median ventral keel is present on the pusterier rentrals. In view of the variability noted, there are insutfiecient ground for separating frontalis from colubrimus. Barbour ${ }^{10}$ prefers to consider L. colubrimls itself as a subspecies of laticaudata. As pointed ont by Ogilby; ${ }^{11}$ the generie term Laticaudu has better clams than I'laturus for these snakes.

## HYDRELAPS DARWINIENSIS, Boulenger.

Whilst examining specimens of juvenile Laticauda colubrimus in the Queensland Mrsemm collections, a solitary example of the apparently rare sea snake Hydrolaps duminionsis was found by the writer. The superficial resemblance betwen the two aceounts for their being mixed in the old collection. Our specimem agrees well with Boulenger's description, ${ }^{13}$ but the tail is relatively longer. The scale formula is as follows:-Body rows 27 ; ventrals 168 ; anal 2 ; subeandals 36 (single). Total length 380 mm ; tail 48. Unfortunately no locality is available. Lomberg and Andersson have recorded a specimen from Broome.

## LAPEMIS HARDWICKII, (iray.

The Queensland Musem has a specimen of this short sea snake, whicli was captured at Townsville. The ventral surface was roughly opened up for purpose of preservation, and it is thus impossible to give a complete seale data. This is the first example to be noted in our register, but Werner has recorded it from Shark's Bay, Western Australia. ${ }^{14}$ We have followed Stejneger in using Lapomis in preference to Enhydris.

[^2]
# FURINA ANNULATA (Gray). 

(Plate XV.)
The larger forms of Furina annuluta (Gray) have a remarkable habit of raising one or more loops of the lody and holding them ahmost vertically aloft. This attitude is well illustrated in Plate $\mathrm{XV}^{\text {r }}$, and they maintain this position with surprising rigidity. This is probably an instance of an aposematic condition.

Athough this snake has been catalogned under the name of l'urina occipitalis, Gray's name. given with a description in the appendix to Grey's Journals of Two Expeditions of Disoovery in North-west and Western Anstralia, rol. ii, p. 443, published in 1841, has priority.

DISTEIRA MAJOR (Shaw).
Examination of the type of Distrira masalis. De Vis, ${ }^{15}$ shows that this cannot le separated from $D$. major. It was deseribed from a young specimen only 400 mm . long. De Vis attached importance to the division of the nasal by a line to the prefrontal, but this condition is present only on the left side. Cope deseribed a similar feature in his note on $D$. dumerilii, ${ }^{16}$ which is ineluded by Boulenger in the synonmy of $D$. major. ${ }^{17}$ The posterior angle of the left masal is produced between the median prefrontal sutnre and thus rednees its length. There are two superimposed anterior temporals, the lower heing somewhat in adrance, and below this there is a very small lahial whieh was evidently not considered by De Vis. There are thus seven. and not six, upper labials. On the right side there is a small shield between the two postoculars and the anterior temporals. The posterior pair of chin-shiehds are so smahl that they ean only just be differentiated from the surroming seales. With these necessary emendations it is searely possible to separate D. nasalis from D. major.

## DISTEIRA ELEGAISS (firay).

Tariation in "s sca suake aml its Yomm.-On 13th March, 1917, the Queensland Ansemm received a sea snake which had been captured in the Pine River, Mr. ('ross being the tonor. This provel to be the common Elegant Sea Snake, Distrira drgans, Gras, which is the species most frequently seeured on our coast. Wamination of this smake showed that it contained eight young, which were evidently near the period of hirth, the lepidosis being well developed. As the range of variation in these snakes has been the subject of no little controversy, the writer thought it would be an excellent opportunity to place on reeord some of the chicef features of the mother and young.

Seven of the eight embryos were removed from the associated membranes and dosely examined. The prevailing colone on the ventral and latemal surface was a beatifut French gray. This was contimued on the back in a series of from forty-five to fifty-two hamds, which altemated with wider dark patehes. The light bends were themselves regularly dark-spotted on the dorsmm, whilst

[^3]
the sides were marked with smaller spots, the whole forming a rery handsome pattern. The liead was dark above and on the sides, and on the median ventral surface the dark markings were almost contimous.

These specimens varied but little in lengtli, all being about 360 mm . The diameter of the eireular fore part of the body was eonsiderably more than half of the vertical diameter of the eompressed posterior part. The chin-shields showed but little variation, althongh the degree of contaet of the posterior pair varied. A large terminal eaudal shield was present in cael specimen. Some of the variations noted are set forth in the following talle, 2878 being the registered number of the mother:-

| - | No. of bands. | Preoc. | Postoc. | ${ }^{11} \mathrm{p}$. habials. | Ant. <br> Temps. | sc. Neck. | sc. lody. | Ventmis. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6878 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 27 | 44 | 386) |
| 1 | 49 | 1 | 1 | $7-2$ last small | 1 | 28 | 46 | 367 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 45 | 1 | 1 | 7-3 - | 1 | 98 | 44 | $36 \div$ |
| 3 | 4.5 | 1 | 1 | richt $7-3 \times$ | 2 | 28 | 4: | 351 |
| 4 | 48 | 1 | 2 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { right } \\ \text { lett } \\ 7-3 \\ 7-2\end{array}\right.$ | 2 right | 28 | 40 | 350 |
| 5 | 47 | 1 | 2 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { right } \\ \text { left } \\ \text { - } \\ \text {-2 } \\ \text { rigit }\end{array}\right\} \quad "$ | 2 | 26 | 40 | 363 |
| 6 | 4.5 | 1 | 2 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { right } 8^{*} \\ \text { left } \\ 7-2\end{array}\right\}$, | 1 | 2.5 | 46 | 353 |
| 7 | 51 | 1 | 1 or ${ }^{\text {? }}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { right } 7 \\ \text { left } \\ 7\end{array}\right.$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ right left $\}$ | 28 | 41 | 377 |

The exserted hemipenes show that numbers $4,5,6$, and 7 are males.
The shields on the upper surface of the head presented no special divergencies. In eaeh the prefrontals were in contact with the seeond labial. There was some little rariation in the lengths of the metian sutures loetween the pairs of prefrontals and nasals. The variation in the number of ventrals was from 350 to 380 (the mother), and this suggests that the male parent had a considerably lower range than that of the female. Boettger ${ }^{18}$ has pointed out that the males have fewer ventrals, but our figures do not give much support to his conclusions. The counting of the ventral series in the young presented some diffieulties owing to the irregularities, especially in the umbilical region, but although the figures may not be absolutely correct they are substantially so. The scale series around the body appear to be fairly constant in number.

The presence of one or two temporals is of considerable importance. The fifth labial may become laterally divided and its upper portion will then form a lower and second anterior temporal. This is somewhat in advance of the upper; but the snake would probably be deseribed as possessing two superposed anterior temporals. Stejneger has noted a variation of this type in his study of Disteira milanorephala. ${ }^{19}$

A full description of the parent snake follows. It will be noted that the difference between the slender fore part of the body and the compressed posterior is very much greater in the adult.

[^4]Fore part of the body small and cireular, about 16 mm . in diam. ; posterior part Hattened, its rertical diameter being fully three times that of the neek. Rostral slighty broader than deep; masals a little shorter than the frontal, nearly three times as long as the suture between the prefrontals; frontal subhexagonal, shorter than parietals, longer than broad, a little longer than its distance from the rostral: one pre- and two postocnlars; one large anterior temporal, followed by a secont. Seven upper labials, second largest, third and fomth entering eve. Two pairs of suberfual chin-shiekds, the second being only in contact anteriorly: 27 scales round the neek, 44 romed the body; seales slightly imbricate. They are prominently keeled on the posterior part of the body where the ventral seales are also feebly marked with two keels, but the carination entirely disappears on the neek. Yentrals 380 , distinct throughout, though a little irregular in phaces. There are two pairs and 46 single subeandal seales between the anal region and the barge terminal shield.

The head, bods, and tail are of a dirty whitish eolour, with yellowish tinges. On the dorsal surface there are to large rhomboidal spots, pale slate on the body but somewhat greenish near the head; these altemate with a series of smaller lateral spots, whed are less distinct anterionly. There are no special colour patterms on the head. A series of black spots on the rentral scales forms a well-marked intermpted back line on the anterior half of the hody.

Total length 1.490 mm . ; tail 120. Reg. No. Q.M.J. 17/2878.
The writer believes that the variations here noted in the mother and oftispring, althongh of consmberable interest, are hat an indication to the far greater variation within the entomage of a Ilydrid species.

Following other workers, we lave examined the teeth of eertain speeimens of Mydrophis, and these show moder magnification traces of the grooves which were once thonglit to be distinctive of Disteira and whids are certaniny much more obvious in some species than in others. J. Van Denburgh and .J. C. Thompson haser set ont the evidence on this point. ${ }^{20}$ As the distinetion between thest gronera seems to break down, we have preferred to call the snakes actually muder revicw Distrire rlogns. In the larger number of the body seales, these Spectuens agree with bonlenger's Distrira gramdis. ${ }^{21}$ In his monograph of the Sea Snakes, Wallea has interpored the range of shaw is spiralis so as to intude some latf-a-dozen others sinee deseribed. Should this attitnde be adoptent, and there are many reasons for so doing, wertan of the (Dheednstand themem sperimens now mamed as bistrirk rogans shonld be designated as spiralis. Lomberg and Audersson`s Distrime mjöbergiz3 would also come near to spiralis in the broader semse. l'moblly many of the elifienties in this gromp would be best solved by using trinmmials.
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